APPLICANT(S):

Joel Ovil et al.

SERIAL NO.:

10/613,146

FILED: Page 9

07/03/2003

REMARKS

The present response is intended to be fully responsive to all points of objection

and/or rejection raised by the Examiner and is believed to place the application in condition

for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the application is respectfully

requested.

Applicants assert that the present invention is new, non-obvious and useful. Prompt

consideration and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

**Status of Claims** 

Claims 1-27 and 39-53 are pending in the application. Claims 1-27 and 39-53 have

been rejected. Claims 1, 10-14, 23-27 and 39 have been amended.

Applicants respectfully assert that the amendments to the claims add no new matter

and are fully supported by the disclosure of the application as filed.

The Telephone Interview

Initially, Applicants wish to thank Examiner Spooner M. Lamont for granting and

attending the telephone interview with Applicants' Representative, Vladimir Sherman, Reg.

No. 43,116, on December 6<sup>th</sup>, 2007. In the interview, independent claims 1, 14, 27 and 39

were discussed in light of the Volcani cited reference. It was clarified during the interview

that the term "dynamic profile" refers to a "user specific profile" generated based on writing

provided by a use. It was agreed that that limitation does not appear in the cited reference.

**CLAIM REJECTIONS** 

35 U.S.C. § 102 Rejections

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-10, 14-23, 27, 40, and 43-47

under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as being anticipated by Volcani et al. (Volcani, US 2003/0212655).

Applicants respectfully disagree with these rejections due to the fact that the cited reference

neither teaches nor suggests all the claims limitations cited in independent claims 1, 14, 27

and 39 - namely a dynamic (user) profile. However, it came to light during the telephone

interview that the Examiner interpreted the limitation "dynamic profile" as applying to a

APPLICANT(S):

Joel Ovil et al.

SERIAL NO.:

10/613,146

FILED:

07/03/2003

Page 10

general updatable system database. Thus, in the interest of expediting the prosecution of the present Application, Applicants have voluntarily performed clarifying amendments to independent claims 1, 14, 27 and 39.

Each of the independent claims, 1, 14, 27 and 39 now recite: "a user specific profile" and "wherein the user specific profile is based on writing provided by the user." Applicants respectfully assert that no new matter has been added as a result of these amendment and to establish support would like to point the Examiner to page 5 lines 33-35 of the specification of the present invention, citing: "...the present invention also <u>builds up a personal profile</u> for a <u>specific user</u>, <u>based on context equivalents</u> selected and frequently used by the user..." and further to page 16 lines 7-8 of the specification, citing: "Reference is now made to FIG. 4, which is a simplified flowchart for a <u>Learning</u>, or <u>Training Phase</u>, in which database tables of a given profile are populated with linguistic entries...".

The limitations of a user specific profile based on writing provided by a user are neither taught nor suggested in the cited prior art. Applicants, therefore, respectfully assert that the above stated voluntary amendments and clarifications render independent claims 1, 14, 27 and 39 allowable over Volcani under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1, 14, 27 and 39 and all claims dependent upon them.

## 35 U.S.C. § 103 Rejections

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 11-13, 24-26 and 41 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as being unpatentable over Volcani et al. (Volcani, US 2003/0212655). In addition, the Examiner rejected claim 42 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as being unpatentable over Volcani, in view of Pickover et al. (US 2003/0130898); claims 48-52 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as being unpatentable over Volcani, in view of Kinder (US 2003/0212541); and claim 53 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as being unpatentable over Volcani, in view of Anderson (US 5,678,053).

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of claims over Volcani et al. (Volcani, US 2003/0212655), because a prima facie case of obviousness has not been established.

APPLICANT(S):

Joel Ovil et al.

SERIAL NO.:

10/613,146

FILED:

07/03/2003

Page 11

Furthermore, in light of the foregoing remarks regarding the 102 rejections, Applicants respectfully assert that claims 11-13, 24-26, 41, 42, 48-52 and 53 are allowable by virtue of their dependence on allowable base claims.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, all the pending claims are considered to be allowable. Their favorable reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner have any question or comment as to the form, content or entry of this Amendment, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number below. Similarly, if there are any further issues yet to be resolved to advance the prosecution of this application to issue, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned counsel.

Respectfully submitted,

Vladimir Sherman

Attorney for Applicant(s) Registration No. 43,116

Dated: December 26, 2007

**Professional Patent Solutions** P.O.B. 654

Herzeliya Pituah, 46105

Israel

Tel:

+972 9 9541971

Fax:

+972 9 9541975

E-mail: office@propats.com